

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

tress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,162	05/04/2001	David E. Zeidler	80113-0122 (D2382)	9802
23353 7	7590 05/19/2004		EXAM	INER
RADER FISH	HMAN & GRAUER F	TRAN, TRANG U		
LION BUILDI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	REET N.W., SUITE 50	1	ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2614	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004	1 <i>6</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0/			
Advisory Action	09/849,162	ZEIDLER ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Trang U. Tran	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	·	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	·					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: see		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •		and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>6-9,32,33,39 and 40</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5, 20-23, 26-31, 34-38 and 41</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/849,162

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed April 13, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In re pages 16-18, applicants argue that, with respect to claims 1 and 20, that Honma does not teach or suggest the claimed frequency range bounder that outputs a bounded control signal because Honma actually teaches that the maximum value of the range will be used as the control information regardless of what the incoming control information is.

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. It is noted that claim 1 recites "a frequency range bounder in the phase locked loop that receives the control signal and outputs a bounded control signal that bounds the frequency of the oscillator to a selected range" and claim 20 recites "limiting the oscillator frequency to a selected range using a frequency range bounder in the phase locked loop, wherein the frequency range bounder receives a control signal and limits the oscillator frequency based on the control signal". Honma discloses in col. 12, lines 46-57 that

"In case that the maximum value of the variable range is larger than the control information, the maximum value of the variable range obtained in step S5 is determined as a control information.

In case that the maximum value within the variable range is equal to or smaller than the control information, the maximum value within the variable range is determined as a control information. Then, in step S6, the frequency is controlled using the control information determined in step S11. In this way, it is possible to limit the frequency variation within the specified range after once the clock reproduction is carried out once."

Application/Control Number: 09/849,162

Art Unit: 2614

From the above passages, it is clear that the phase locked loop of Honma limits the oscillator frequency (the maximum value of the variable range) based on the control signal (the control information). It is further noted that the maximum value of the variable range of Honma anticipates the claimed "a bounded control signal".

In re pages 18-19, applicants argue that the Office Action alleges that Honma teaches the claimed output multiplexer and threshold register(s) of claim 2; however, no such components are described in Honma.

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. As discussed above, Honma discloses in col. 12, lines 46-57 that

"In case that the maximum value of the variable range is larger than the control information, the maximum value of the variable range obtained in step S5 is determined as a control information.

In case that the maximum value within the variable range is equal to or smaller than the control information, the maximum value within the variable range is determined as a control information. Then, in step S6, the frequency is controlled using the control information determined in step S11. In this way, it is possible to limit the frequency variation within the specified range after once the clock reproduction is carried out once."

The claimed multiplexer is anticipated by the selection of the maximum value and the control signal of Honma and the claimed threshold register is anticipated by the registration of the maximum value as a control information of Honma. Thus, Honma does indeed discloses the claimed multiplexer and threshold register.

In re pages 19-20, applicants argues that Honma does not teach outputting a lower value if the control signal is below a low limit as recited in claims 23, 26, 34, and 41 because the only output of Honma is the maximum value.

Application/Control Number: 09/849,162

Art Unit: 2614

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. As discussed above, the maximum value of the variable range is outputted when the maximum value of the variable range is larger than the control information or is equal to or smaller than the control information. It is noted that claims 23, 26, 34, and 41 do not specifically recite that **the low limit signal** and **the high limit signal** are two **different signals**. The low limit signal and the high limit signal can be same signal such as in Honma. Thus, Honma does disclose the claimed low limit signal and high limit signal (the maximum value) of claims 23, 26, 34, and 41.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trang U. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-0090. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM, Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on (703) 305-4795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/849,162

Art Unit: 2614

May 7, 2004

MICHAEL H. LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER